Healthy People 2020: Who’s Leading the Leading Health Indicators?
“Who’s Leading the Leading Health Indicators?”

- 12th installment of the monthly series, “Who’s Leading the Leading Health Indicators?”

- Highlight organizations using evidence-based approaches to address one of the Healthy People 2020 Leading Health Indicator (LHI) topics.
What are the Leading Health Indicators (LHIs)?

Leading Health Indicators are:

- Critical health issues that, if addressed appropriately, will dramatically reduce the leading causes of preventable deaths and illnesses.

- Linked to specific Healthy People objectives.

- Intended to motivate action to improve the health of the entire population.
Webinar Participants

- **Featured Speakers:**
  - Dr. Don Wright, MD, MPH
    Deputy Assistant Secretary for Disease Prevention and Health Promotion
  - Mr. Bill Wiley
    Director, Maricopa County Air Quality Department

- **Panelists:**
  - Dr. Steven R. Kleeberger, PhD
    Director, Environmental Genetics Group, National Institutes of Health (NIH)
  - Mr. David Mintz
    Statistician, Office of Air Quality Planning and Standards, Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)
Don Wright, MD, MPH
Deputy Assistant Secretary for Disease Prevention and Health Promotion
The Environmental Quality Leading Health Indicators are:

- Air Quality Index (AQI) exceeding 100 (Exposure to Unhealthy Outdoor Air)
- Children aged 3 to 11 years exposed to secondhand smoke
Impact & Context: Environmental Quality

- Outdoor
  - natural and human-generated

- Indoor
  - secondhand smoke and other sources

- Health effects
  - short-term and long-term

- Decreasing air pollution and eliminating exposure to second-hand smoke are important steps in creating healthy environments and improving the health of all Americans.
Asthma: Second-hand Smoke & Air Pollution

- In 2009, there were:
  - 479,300 asthma-related hospitalizations
  - 1.9 million asthma-related emergency department visits
  - 8.9 million asthma-related doctor visits

- Triggers include:
  - Secondhand smoke
  - Outdoor Air Pollution
Exposure of non-smokers to secondhand smoke among children aged 3-11, 2009-2010

Notes: I = 95% confidence interval. Children aged 3-11 years are considered to be non-smokers if they had a serum cotinine level of less than or equal to 10 ng/ml. Children are considered to be exposed to second hand smoke if they had a cotinine level greater than or equal to 0.05 ng/ml and less than or equal to 10 ng/ml. Black and White exclude persons of Hispanic origin. Persons of Hispanic origin may be any race. Respondents were asked to select one or more races. Single race categories are for persons who reported only one racial group.

Source: National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES), CDC/NCHS.
Exposure to Unhealthy Outdoor Air

Notes: The AQI weight is the proportion of the AQI greater than 100. The population of an area is multiplied by the AQI weight to produce weighted people-days. All areas with an AQI greater than 100 are summed by AQI-weighted people-days for each year and averaged for the 3-year period.

Source: Air Quality System (AQS), EPA.

HP2020 Target: 1.98 billion

Decreased desired
Rapid Response overview

Bill Wiley
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Background

- Maricopa County – 3.8M, 2010 census
- Maricopa County is in “serious” nonattainment for PM10 (dust) since 1996
- People exposed to “natural” and human-caused dust
- County has adopted 130 control measures on sources of particulates
- Rapid Response designed to “prevent” human-caused exceedances
- Natural events such as “haboobs” may be “excused” by EPA
Maricopa County Air Quality Department role

- Monitor network (17 monitors)
- Trip Reduction Program (697,000 employees)
- Permits (6,000)
- Inspections (13,000/year)
- Enforcement (678 cases, $1,704,922)
PM-10 Rapid Response Program

• Developed to augment State Implementation Plan for particulates (5% Plan)
• Intent is to reduce exposure from particles due to human-caused activity

• Goals:
  – Need to prevent human caused pollution/exposure
  – Desire for early warning
  – Engage all stakeholders

• Keys:
  – Real-time air quality data
  – Quick analysis
  – Dispatch system
  – Respond quickly to human caused events
  – Stop or mitigate activities
  – Prevent future exceedances
Structure

- Real-time monitoring (from 1-hour to real time)
- Rapid data assessment (by air quality professional)
- Alerts to those signed up near monitors (on website)
- Follow up by inspector as soon as possible
- Air Quality network of 17 monitors
- Updated from hourly land lines to real time wireless data ports – live on web
- Air quality professional evaluates data 24/7
- Sends alerts to those near offending monitors when human activities suspected
Response

- Once alerted, stakeholders such as businesses, residents and partnering agencies help maintain compliance by stabilizing loose dirt or even halting dust-generating operations.
Other entities involved*

- ADEQ
- MAG (planning agency)
- Cities/towns
- Health
- Schools
- Media

- Farm Bureau
- Rock products
- Homebuilders
- Contractors
- Off Road Vehicles association

*Selected stakeholders
Outcomes

• Sent out 18 alarms:
  – 12 exceedances avoided
  – 4 were “natural” events
  – 2 exceedances not prevented
Key findings

- Partnerships crucial – get everyone involved
- Lasting relationships
- System improvement crucial (IT)
- Limit alerts to area affected
- Natural events
Recognition

- NACo award (July 15, 2012)
- 2012 EPA Environmental Excellence Award (September 19, 2012)
- Associated General Contractors recognition
Roundtable Discussion
Please take a moment to fill out our brief survey.
Hospitalizations for asthma among children and adults aged 5–64, 2007

Notes: I= 95% confidence interval. Data includes hospitalizations with a principal diagnosis of asthma (ICD-9-CM code 493) among persons aged 5 to 64 years. Data are age adjusted to the 2000 standard population. Respondents were asked to select either a single race category or an aggregate multiple race category. Single race categories are for persons who reported a single race category. Source: National Hospital Discharge Survey (NHDS), CDC/NCHS.

Obj. RD-2.2
Hospital emergency department visits for asthma among children and adults aged 5–64, 2005–07

Notes: I=95% confidence interval. Data includes emergency department visits with a principal diagnosis of asthma (ICD-9-CM code 493) among persons aged 5 to 64 years. Black and White exclude persons of Hispanic origin. Persons of Hispanic origin may be any race. Respondents were asked to select either a single race category or an aggregate multiple race category. Single race categories are for persons who reported a single race category.

Source: National Hospital Ambulatory Medical Care Survey (NHAMCS), CDC/NCHS.

Obj. RD-3.2
Stay Connected

- Get the updated data and read implementation stories, visit http://www.healthypeople.gov.

- To receive the latest information about Healthy People 2020 and related events, visit our website to:
  - Join the Healthy People 2020 Consortium
  - Submit your LHI story at http://www.healthypeople.gov

Follow us on Twitter @gohealthypeople

Join our Healthy People 2020 group on LinkedIn

Watch past Webinars at http://www.YouTube.com/ODPHP